IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

OCEAN RIDGE CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC, as Litigation Trustee of THE MAS LITIGATION TRUST, Plaintiff. -against-METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, THE NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, CAPITAL D'AMERIQUE CDPQ INC., CAISSE DE DEPOT ET PLACEMENT DU QUEBEC, CSFB LP HOLDING, SKOOG FAMILY INVESTMENTS, Case No. 07 CV 3213 (SAS) LLC, BANCAMERICA CAPITAL INVESTORS II, L.P., WINDWARD/PARK AB III, L.L.C., WINDWARD CAPITAL PARTNERS L.P., WINDWARD CAPITAL **ANSWER** ASSOCIATES, L.P., SUEZ CAPITAL PARTNERS II, L.P., INDOSUEZ CAPITAL CO-INVEST PARTNERS, L.P., SCP II ASSOCIATES, CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON (USA), INC., CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON, GOLDMAN SACHS CREDIT PARTNERS L.P., JEFFREY A. ANDERSON, JON F. BAKER, JOSE R. GARCIA, ROBERT H. BARTON III, H.H. WACASER, PETER S. MACDONALD, GARY SWENSON, JOHN F. MAYPOLE, THOMAS GRAHAM, DONALD A. MCKAY, HENRY A. NICKOL, JEFFREY HODGMAN, A. KIPP KOESTER, CRAIG VAN ESS, GURMINDER S. BEDI, THOMAS WALKER, JACK SKOOG AND DEAN VANEK Defendants.

Defendant, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("MetLife"), by its counsel, Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP, as and for its Answer and Defenses to the Complaint, hereby:

1. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint, except admits that on April 28, 2004, Meridian replaced its previous bank debt facility from Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America") with \$337 million of the proceeds of the 2004 Refinancing.

- 2. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, except admits that Meridian supplied front and rear end modules, bumper systems, exterior composite plastic modules, structural components, and interior and lighting components to the automotive industry, that Meridian supplied its products to Ford, GM, Daimler-Chrysler, and other automobile manufacturers, and that Meridian was incorporated under the laws of Michigan and headquartered in Dearborn, Michigan.
- 3. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
 - 4. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, except admits that on April 26, 2005, Meridian declared bankruptcy.
- 7. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, except admits that the Liquidating Trustee purports to "bring[] this action, pursuant to its [purported] statutory and contractual authority, against" the defendants therein to recover damages under the causes of action in the Complaint.
- 8. States that paragraph 8 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 8.
- 9. States that paragraph 9 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 9.

- 10. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. States that the first sentence of paragraph 11 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. MetLife further states that the second sentence and block quoted material in paragraph 11 references documents which speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.
- 12. States that paragraph 12 references a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
- 13. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint, except admits that certain proceeds from the 2004 Refinancing were used to pay down a portion of the preexisting debt. MetLife further states that the fourth and fifth sentences of paragraph 13 assert legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 13.
- 14. States that paragraph 14 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14.
- 15. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint, except admits: that MetLife is a New York corporation with its principal place of business at One Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10010; that MetLife had the right to appoint one (1) director to Meridian's board at the time of the 2004 Refinancing; that Jeffrey Hodgman and John F. Maypole served on Meridian's board of directors; and that Susan Garrett served as MetLife's board observer at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 15 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15.

- 16. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint, except: denies that Tim Wegener served as MetLife's board observer at the time of the 2004 Refinancing; admits that A. Kipp Koester served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing; and states that the second sentence of paragraph 16 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 16.
- 17. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint, except admits that Luc Houle was a board observer. MetLife further states that the sixth sentence of paragraph 17 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint, except admits that Craig Van Ess served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 18 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 18.
- 19. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, except admits: that Windward/Park AB III, L.L.C. ("Windward/Park") is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 712 Fifth Avenue, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10019; that Adam Gruber and Scott Spielvogel were board observers; and that Peter MacDonald and Gary Swenson served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states the second sentence of paragraph 19 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required.

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 5 of 30 To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 19.

- 20. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint, except admits that Alan Freudenstein and Greg Grimaldi were board observers. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 20 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 20.
- 21. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint, except admits that Cheryl Bartol and Jason Mehring were board observers. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 21 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 21.
- 22. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint, except admits that Adam Gruber and Scott Spielvogel were board observers; and that Peter MacDonald and Gary Swenson served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 22 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 22.
- 23. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 23 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 6 of 30 extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 23.

- 24. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint, except admits that Adam Gruber and Scott Spielvogel were board observers; and that Peter MacDonald and Gary Swenson served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 24 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 24.
- 25. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint, except admits that Kathleen Sweeney and Thierry De Vergness were board observers. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 25 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 25.
- 26. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the second sentence of paragraph 26 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 26.
- 27. States that paragraph 27 contains no factual averments as to which a response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies that it was an "Institutional Insider" as that term is used in relationship to Meridian Automotive Services.
- 28. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint, and states that the first sentence of paragraph 28 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and refers the

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 7 of 30 court thereto for its complete terms. MetLife further states that the third sentence of paragraph 28 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 28.

- 29. States that paragraph 29 references a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
 - 30. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
- 31. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Complaint, except admits that John F. Maypole was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 31 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 31.
- 32. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the Complaint, except admits that Jeffrey Hodgman was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 32 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 32.
- 33. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Complaint, except admits that A. Kipp Koester was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 33 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 33.

- 34. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Complaint, except admits that Gary Swenson was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 34 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 34.
- 35. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Complaint, except admits that Peter S. MacDonald was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 35 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 35.
- 36. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Complaint, except admits that Thomas Graham was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 36 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 36.
- 37. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Complaint, except admits that Donald A. McKay was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 37 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 37.

- 38. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Complaint, except admits that Henry A. Nickol was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 38 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 38.
- 39. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint, except admits that Craig Van Ess was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 39 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 39.
- 40. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the Complaint, except admits that Gurminder S. Bedi was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 40 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 40.
- 41. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Complaint, except admits that Thomas K. Walker was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 41 asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 41.

- 42. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the Complaint, except admits that Robert H. Barton III was a director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 42 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 42.
- 43. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the Complaint, except admits that H.H. Wacaser was an officer and director of Meridian at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 43 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 43.
- 44. States that paragraph 44 contains no factual averments as to which a response is required.
- 45. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the third sentence of paragraph 45 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 45.
- 46. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the third sentence of paragraph 46 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 46.

- 47. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the remaining allegations in the third sentence of paragraph 47 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 47.
- 48. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the Complaint, except admits that Craig Van Ess served on Meridian's board of directors at the time of the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife further states that the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 48 assert a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 48.
- 49. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the Complaint.
- 50. States that paragraph 50 contains no factual averments as to which a response is required.
- 51. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the Complaint.
- 52. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the Complaint.
- 53. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the Complaint.
- 54. States that paragraph 54 contains no factual averments as to which a response is required.
- 55. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the Complaint.

- 56. Denies information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the Complaint.
- 57. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the Complaint as they relate to MetLife, except admits: that certain defendants had the power to appoint, and did appoint, directors to Meridian's board of directors; that certain defendants had observers at Meridian board meetings; and that certain board observers served on committees set up by the board of directors. MetLife denies information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the Complaint as they relate to other defendants.
- 58. Denies information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the Complaint.
- 59. States that paragraph 59 refers to the terms and conditions of the 2001

 Refinancing transactional documents and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.
- 60. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that paragraph 60 refers to documents which speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.
- 61. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 61 of the Complaint, except admits that: the Series A Notes, Series B Notes and the Skoog Note have been collectively referred to as "mezzanine debt."
- 62. States that paragraph 62 refers to the terms and conditions of the 2004

 Refinancing transactional documents, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.
- 63. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that paragraph 63

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 13 of 30 refers to the terms and conditions of the 2004 Refinancing transactional documents, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.

- 64. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 64 of the Complaint, except admits that in August 20, 2002, Meridian filed a registration statement for an initial public offering and on February 25, 2003, Meridian filed a registration withdrawal request. MetLife further states that paragraph 64 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
 - 65. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 65 of the Complaint.
 - 66. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Complaint.
- 67. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Complaint, except admits that the board approved the retention of McKinsey & Co. MetLife further states that paragraph 67 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
- 68. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 68 of the Complaint, except admits that McKinsey reported to the Steering Committee on January 8, 2004 and January 16, 2004. MetLife further states that paragraph 68 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
 - 69. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 69 of the Complaint.
- 70. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 70 of the Complaint, except admits that on February 18, 2004, McKinsey gave a presentation to the board.
- 71. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Complaint.
- 72. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Complaint.

- 73. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Complaint, except denies that McKinsey conducted an analysis "setting forth Meridian's insolvency." MetLife further states that paragraph 73 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
 - 74. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 74 of the Complaint.
- 75. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 75 as they relate to MetLife, and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 75 as they relate to other defendants.
- 76. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 76 of the Complaint.
- 77. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 77 of the Complaint, except denies that any director was "beholden" to MetLife.
- 78. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the Complaint as they relate to MetLife and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the Complaint as they relate to other defendants.
- 79. States that paragraph 79 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
- 80. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of the Complaint.
- 81. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Complaint.
 - 82. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Complaint.

- 83. States that paragraph 83 references documents which speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms.
- 84. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 84 of the Complaint.
- 85. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the Complaint as they relate to MetLife and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the Complaint as they relate to other defendants, except admits that the closing of the 2004 Refinancing: took place on April 28, 2004, in New York City; raised \$485 million in new credit facilities; comprised (i) a \$75 million first lien revolving loan, (ii) a \$235 million first lien term loan, and (iii) a \$175 million second lien term loan; and \$337 million in proceeds was used to repay existing secured bank debt under the Bank of America credit facility.
- 86. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 86 of the Complaint.
- 87. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of the Complaint, except admits that on or about April 28, 2004, Meridian prepaid approximately \$20,456,944 as partial prepayment on subordinated debt held by MetLife.
- 88. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 88 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that paragraph 88 refers to a document which speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court thereto for its complete terms.
- 89. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Complaint.

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 16 of 30

- 90. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Complaint.
- 91. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 91 of the Complaint.
- 92. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Complaint.
- 93. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Complaint.
- 94. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Complaint.
- 95. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Complaint.
- 96. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Complaint.

As to the First Claim for Relief Unlawful Distribution In Violation of Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345

(Against Defendants MetLife, Northwestern Mutual, Caisse, Skoog, Windward/Park, CSFB Holding, BACI, Suez Capital, WCA, Indosuez, SCP II, Baker, Wacaser, Garcia, Anderson and Barton)

- 97. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-96 as if fully set forth herein.
- 98. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 98 of the Complaint.
- 99. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 99 of the Complaint. MetLife further states that the last sentence of paragraph 99 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 99.

- Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 100 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to Defendants other than MetLife.
- 101. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge of Defendants other than MetLife.
- 102. States that paragraph 102 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 102.
- 103. States that paragraph 103 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 103.

As to the Second Claim for Relief **Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Loyalty** (Against Director Defendants and Defendant Vanek)

- 104. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-103 as if fully set forth herein.
- 105. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required. MetLife further states that paragraph 105 asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 105.
- 106. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 106 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 107. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 108. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.

- 109. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 110. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 111. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 111 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 112. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 112 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required.
- 113. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 113 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required. MetLife further states that paragraph 113 sets asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 113.
- 114. States that the allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the Complaint are not alleged against MetLife and no response is required. MetLife further states that paragraph 114 asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 114.

As to the Third Claim for Relief Aiding and Abetting Breaches of Fiduciary Duties

(Against the Institutional Insider Defendants and the Arranger Defendants)

- 115. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-114 as if fully set forth herein.
- admits that MetLife nominated board members and exercised observation rights. MetLife denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge or actions of Defendants other than MetLife. MetLife further states that the first sentence of paragraph 116 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 19 of 30 response is required. To the extent that any further response is required, MetLife denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 116.

- 117. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 117 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge or actions of Defendants other than MetLife.
- 118. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 118 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge or actions of Defendants other than MetLife.
- 119. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge of Defendants other than MetLife.
- 120. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 120 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge or actions of Defendants other than MetLife.
- 121. States that paragraph 121 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 121.
- 122. States that paragraph 122 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 122.
- 123. States that paragraph 123 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 123.

As to the Fourth Claim for Relief Civil Conspiracy to Commit Breaches of Fiduciary Duties (Against all Defendants)

- Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-123 as if fully set forth herein. 124.
- 125. States that paragraph 125 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 125.
 - 126. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 126 of the Complaint.
- 127. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 127, except admits that MetLife entered into a Shareholders Agreement and its subsequent amendments. MetLife further states that the Shareholders Agreement and the amendments thereto are documents which speak for themselves, and MetLife respectfully refers the Court thereto for their complete terms
 - 128. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Complaint.
 - 129. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Complaint.
- 130. States that paragraph 130 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 130.
- 131. States that paragraph 131 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 131.

As to the Fifth Claim for Relief Gross Negligence (Against All Defendants)

- 132. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-131 as if fully set forth herein.
- 133. States that paragraph 133 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 133.

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 21 of 30

- 134. States that paragraph 134 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 134.
- 135. States that paragraph 135 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 135.

As to the Sixth Claim for Relief Preferential Transfers: 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 547

(Against the Institutional Insider Defendants, Individual Insider Defendants, and Director Defendants)

- 136. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-135 as if fully set forth herein.
- 137. States that paragraph 137 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 137.
- 138. Admits that Meridian transferred \$20,456,944.00 to MetLife for or on account of an antecedent debt, in connection with the 2004 Refinancing, and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to Defendants other than MetLife.
- 139. States that paragraph 139 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 139.
- 140. States that paragraph 140 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 140.

- 141. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 141 of the Complaint as they apply to MetLife, and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to Defendants other than MetLife.
 - 142. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 142 of the Complaint.
- 143. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 143 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge of Defendants other than MetLife.
 - 144. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 144 of the Complaint.
- 145. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 145 of the Complaint.

As to the Seventh Claim for Relief Intentional Fraudulent Transfers: 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548

(Against Defendants MetLife, Northwestern Mutual, Caisse, Skoog, Windward/Park, CSFB Holding, BACI, Suez Capital, WCA, Indosuez, SCP II, Baker, Wacaser, Garcia, Anderson, Barton, CSFB, and Goldman Sachs)

- 146. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-145 as if fully set forth herein.
- 147. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of the Complaint.
- 148. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the Complaint.
- 149. States that paragraph 149 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 149.
- 150. States that paragraph 150 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 150.
- 151. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 151 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge of Defendants other than MetLife.

- 152. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 152 of the Complaint, except admits that MetLife received a partial payment in connection with the 2004 Refinancing.
- 153. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of the Complaint.
 - 154. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 154 of the Complaint.
- 155. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 155 of the Complaint, except admits that MetLife received a partial payment in connection with the 2004 Refinancing.
- 156. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 156 of the Complaint.

As to the Eighth Claim for Relief Constructive Fraudulent Transfers: 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548 (Against All Defendants)

- 157. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-156 as if fully set forth herein.
- 158. States that paragraph 158 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 158.
- 159. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of the Complaint, except admits that MetLife received \$20,456,944.00 in connection with the 2004 Refinancing. MetLife denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to Defendants other than MetLife.
- 160. States that paragraph 161 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 160.
- 161. States that paragraph 161 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 161.

- 162. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 162 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to Defendants other than MetLife.
- 163. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 163 of the Complaint, except denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to the knowledge of Defendants other than MetLife.
 - 164. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 164 of the Complaint.
 - 165. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 165 of the Complaint.
- 166. States that paragraph 166 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 166.
- 167. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 167 of the Complaint.
- 168. States that paragraph 168 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 168.

As to the Ninth Claim for Relief Turnover of Avoided Transfers: 11 U.S.C. §§ 550 (Against All Defendants)

- 169. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-168 as if fully set forth herein.
- 170. States that paragraph 170 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 170.

As to the Tenth Claim for Relief Attorney Fees (Against All Defendants)

171. Incorporates all of its responses to paragraphs 1-170 as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 25 of 30

172. States that paragraph 172 of the Complaint asserts legal conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, MetLife denies the allegations contained in paragraph 172.

GENERAL DENIAL

MetLife denies all allegations and inferences of the Complaint except those that are expressly admitted in this Answer.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

The Complaint, and each purported claim for relief therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Complaint does not plead fraud with the requisite particularity required by the rules of procedure applicable here.

THIRD DEFENSE

This action is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of in pari delicto.

FOURTH DEFENSE

This action is barred, in whole or in part, because the Plaintiff lacks standing.

FIFTH DEFENSE

This action is barred, in whole or in part, by the statute of limitations.

SIXTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not hold any of Meridian's outstanding common stock at the time of the 2004 Refinancing.

MetLife did not violate Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345 because Meridian retained the ability to pay its debts as the debts became due in the usual course of business after giving effect to the 2004 Refinancing.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not violate Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345 because Meridian's total assets exceeded the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if Meridian were dissolved at the time of the payments in connection with the 2004 Refinancing, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of shareholders whose preferential rights were superior to MetLife.

NINTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not violate Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345 because the payments in connection with the 2004 Refinancing were not prohibited under Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345(3) as provided in § 450.1345(4).

TENTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not violate Mich. Comp. Law § 450.1345 because Meridian was not insolvent when payments in connection with the 2004 Refinancing were authorized or made.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

MetLife was a creditor of Meridian and therefore did not owe any fiduciary duties to Meridian.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not knowingly induce, substantially assist, or participate in any breach of fiduciary duty.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife cannot be found to have aided and abetted breach of fiduciary duty because there was no underlying breach of fiduciary duty by Meridian's directors.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife cannot be found to have aided and abetted breach of fiduciary duty because Meridian's directors authorized Meridian to enter into the 2004 Refinance in accordance with their business judgment and therefore, there was no underlying breach of fiduciary duty.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife cannot be found to have committed conspiracy to commit breaches of fiduciary duty because there was no underlying breach of fiduciary duty by Meridian's directors.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not intend to participate in a conspiracy, and did not conspire to commit breaches of fiduciary duty.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has no claim for gross negligence because MetLife owed no duty to Plaintiff.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife was not an insider as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(A)(31), Mich. Comp. Law § 566.31, or New York case law.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive any preferential transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547 because it is not an insider pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 101(A)(31) and the transfer by Meridian to MetLife did not occur on or within 90 days before the date of the filing of the petition.

MetLife did not receive any preferential transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547 because the transfer from Meridian to MetLife was intended by Meridian and MetLife to be, and was in fact, a contemporaneous exchange for new value given to Meridian.

TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive any preferential transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547 because the transfer from Meridian to MetLife did not enable MetLife to receive more than it would have received in a Chapter 7 proceeding, pursuant to the provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive any preferential transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547 because the alleged preferential transfers were not an interest of Meridian in property because such transfer originated from funds that were earmarked for MetLife under the 2004 Refinancing.

TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive a fraudulent transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548, Mich. Comp Laws § 566.34(1)(a) & 2, and/or New York Debtor and Creditor Law, Article 10, Section 276, because Meridian received reasonably equivalent value, whether measured by its satisfaction of debt, receipt of more favorable credit terms, and extension of maturities in exchange for the transfer to MetLife, or otherwise.

TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive a fraudulent transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548, Mich. Comp Laws § 566.34(1)(a) & 2, and/or New York Debtor and Creditor Law, Article 10, Section 276, because Meridian was not insolvent either prior to, or immediately after, the 2004 Refinancing.

TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive a fraudulent transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548, Mich. Comp Laws § 566.34(1)(a) & 2, and/or New York Debtor and Creditor Law, Article 10, Section 276, Case 1:07-cv-03213-SAS Document 45 Filed 08/17/2007 Page 29 of 30 because Meridian was not left with an unreasonably small amount of capital immediately after the 2004 Refinancing.

TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

MetLife did not receive a fraudulent transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548, Mich. Comp Laws § 566.34(1)(a) & 2, and/or New York Debtor and Creditor Law, Article 10, Section 276, because Meridian retained the ability to pay its debts as they became due immediately after the 2004 Refinancing.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Meridian's transfer to MetLife in connection with the 2004 Refinancing was in good faith and was fair and equitable.

TWENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

The payment to MetLife in connection with the 2004 Refinancing was not property of Meridian pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550.

TWENTY-NINTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff has no valid claim for attorneys' fees.

THIRTIETH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff has no valid claim for exemplary or punitive damages, nor for any damages that are disproportionate to the compensatory damages alleged.

THIRTY-FIRST DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's demand for a trial by jury is improper and should be stricken because the parties in interest waived their right to a trial by jury in their agreements.

THIRTY-SECOND DEFENSE

MetLife reserves the right to amend its pleadings and to assert additional or different defenses based upon information or evidence developed in discovery or otherwise.

WHEREFORE, MetLife respectfully requests judgment:

- (i) Dismissing the Complaint and all claims therein with prejudice; and
- (ii) Such other relief as the Court deems just.

Dated: New York, New York August 17, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/s/D. Farrington Yates

Reid L. Ashinoff (RA-0281) Gary Meyerhoff (GM-8267) D. Farrington Yates (DY-8383) Benito Delfin, Jr. (BD-1216)

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal, LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020 (Tel).: (212) 768-6700 (Fax).: (212) 768-6800

Attorneys for Defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.